

CLIENT SATISFACTION MEASUREMENT REPORT

Consolidated

2023, 1st Edition

### Table of Contents

1.	OVERVIEW	3
11.	SCOPE	4
III.	METHODOLOGY	5
IV.	DATA AND INTERPRETATION	€
٧.	RESULTS OF AGENCY ACTION PLAN REPORTED IN PREVIOUS YEAR	10
VI.	CONTINUOUS AGENCY IMPROVEMENT PLAN FOR THE FOLLOWING YEAR	10
VII.	INDEX	10

#### I. OVERVIEW

The Anti-Red Tape Authority (ARTA) implements client satisfaction measurement as part of its mandate to ensure efficient service delivery and to promote ease of doing business within government services. The Metro Kidapawan Water District (MKWD), along with other government offices, is mandated to submit annually its CSM report.

A Client Satisfaction Measurement (CSM) Report is a critical document for any service-oriented organization, such as the MKWD. The importance of creating such a report to the agency are the following:

- 1. It measures how well the services provided meet or exceed client expectations.
- 2. The report pinpoints specific areas where the organization can improve, based on client feedback.
- 3. The report provides a way to track improvements over time, showing the impact of any changes made.
- 4. Insights from the report can guide strategic planning and decision-making processes.
- 5. The CSM report is required to demonstrate adherence to customer service standards set by the government.
- 6. It helps in allocating resources more effectively by focusing on areas that will increase client satisfaction.
- 7. Sharing the findings with stakeholders can build trust and show the organization's commitment to transparency and continuous improvement.

In summary, a CSM Report provides an organization with actionable insights to enhance client satisfaction, improve service quality, and maintain a competitive edge.

This CSM report adheres to ARTA Memorandum Circular 2022-05 and Memorandum Circular 2023-05 or the Guidelines on the Implementation of the Harmonized Client Satisfaction Measurement

Based on the data shows the following results:

	Score
Citizen's Charter Awareness:	43.6%
Citizen's Charter Visibility:	33.9%
Citizen's Charter Helpfulness:	48.2%
Response Rate:	0.96%
Overall Score:	91.5%

### II. SCOPE

- A. Period Covered by the Survey
  The survey was conducted from January to December 2023.
- B. Geographic and Office Coverage
  The survey was conducted in Brgy. Lanao, Kidapawan City (Main Office) and suboffices (Makilala, Matalam, and Magpet) for the on-site survey. The online survey
  links are available in MKWD social media pages and website. The links are also
  provided and posted in conspicuous places of MKWD offices.
- C. List of Services Surveyed, Responses and Total number of Transacting Clients

The following are the services covered by the survey:

Service No.	Service Name	Internal or External Service?	Total Transactions	Responses
1	WATER BILL PAYMENT	External	353070	387
2	LABORATORY SERVICES	External	4303	357
3	ENGINEERING SERVICES	External	3	3
4	BULK WATER	External	8	8
5	OTHER UNBILLED TRANSACTIONS	External	10	10
6	NEW SERVICE CONNECTION	External	934	272
7	TRANSFER CONNECTION	External	100	80
8	TRANSFER SOURCE	External	194	130
9	TRANSFER METER STAND	External	190	127
10	TRANSFER NAME/CHANGE NAME	External	25	25
11	TEST WATER METER	External	867	270
12	TEST BENCH	External	1	1
13	RECLASSIFICATION	External	352	185
14	PLUMBING SERVICES	External	10	10
15	VOLUNTARY DISCONNECTION	External	296	167
16	RECONNECTION/RE-OPEN	External	543	225
17	BALIK CONNECTION	External	1	1
18	CHARGING OF INACTIVE TO ACTIVE ACCOUNT	External	29	29
19	PROMISSORY BILL	External	865	266

20	BILLING ADJUSTMENT	External	1469	309
21	APPLICATION FOR SENIOR CITIZEN DISCOUNT	External	132	102
22	BASIC PERSONNEL INFORMATION	Internal	234	148
23	ELECTRONIC BILLS PAYMENT (LANDBANK)	External	3983	353
24	ELECTRONIC BILL PAYMENT (G-CASH)	External	41987	384
25	OUTSTANDING BILL INQUIRY	External	120	94
		TOTAL		

#### D. Sampling Details

Applied Confidence Level and Margin of Error

The following formula is provided through a sampling calculator in CSM Guidelines for determining the minimum number of respondents with 95% confidence level and 5% margin of error:

 $\begin{aligned} \textit{Minimum number of respondents per service} \\ &= \frac{\textit{Total number of transactions annually}(384.16)}{((\textit{Total number of transactions annually} - 1) + 384.16} \end{aligned}$ 

#### ii. Discussion of Response Rates

The response rate is as expected since the number of transactions for the year is already as anticipated. The units concerned were pre-informed of the number of survey questionnaires to be filled-out by clients.

#### III. METHODOLOGY

A. Mode of Survey Implementation

Data are gathered using survey questionnaire, both in site and online. Respondents are determined through a random sampling, regardless if they are customers with active account, customers with no active account, private individuals, business owners, or representative of other agencies, as long as they are doing transaction with MKWD but they properly represent the clients served.

Distribution of Google form link are tasked to frontline divisions/units concerned and posted to MKWD website, listed as follows:

- 1. Cash Management Division
- Water Quality Unit (Laboratory)
- 3. Water Resources and Production Department
- 4. Planning and Design Division
- 5. Construction Division (Service Connection Unit)
- 6. Customer Accounts Division
- 7. Bids and Awards Committee
- 8. Customer Services Division

- 9. Human Resources Division
- 10. Admin Assistant/Resident IT
- B. Feedback and Collection Mechanism Survey sheets are collected daily by frontline divisions/units. If there are feedbacks/concerns which require immediate action, copy is endorsed to concerned unit. Result of survey is consolidated in monthly basis by Committee on Anti-Red Tape.

#### C. Scoring System

The instrument consists of structured survey questionnaires using 5-point Likert Scale, with equivalent spectrum as follows:

Scale	Rating	
5	Strongly Agree	
4	Agree	
3	Neither Agree nor Disagree	
2	Disagree	
1	Strongly Disagree	

The overall score for the 8 Service Quality Dimensions (SQD's) of the CSM survey were computed based on the following formula:

$$\frac{Overall\ Score}{=\frac{Number\ of\ "Strongly\ Agree"\ answers+Number\ of\ "Agree"\ answers}{(Total\ number\ of\ Respondents-Number\ of\ N/A\ answers)}$$

D. How the numerical results will be interpreted
The interpretation of the results are as follows:

Percentage	Rating
Below 60.0%	Poor
60.0% - 79.9%	Fair
80.0% - 89.9%	Satisfactory
90.0% - 94.9%	Very Satisfactory
95.0% - 100%	Outstanding

#### IV. DATA AND INTERPRETATION

#### A. Demographic Profile

The demographics of respondents show that the respondents were generally at 50-64 years old and within the working age of 20-49 years old. The respondents were also almost equally divided based on gender.

D1. /	Age	External	Internal	Overali
1	19 or lower	10.5%	0.5%	11.0%
2	20-34	18.1%	0.5%	18.6%
3	35-49	18.1%	0.8%	18.9%
4	50-64	30.3%	1.5%	31.9%
5	65 or higher	10.2%	0.2%	10.3%
6	Did not specify	9.1%	0.3%	9.4%

D2. Sex		External	internal	Overall
1	Male	38.2%	2.2%	40.4%
2	Female	44.7%	1.4%	46.1%
3	Did not specify	13.3%	0.2%	13.5%

The result also shows that 44% of the respondents are coming from Kidapawan City which is as expected since Kidapawan City composes most of MKWD service connections.

	D3. Service Area	External	Internal	Overali
1	Kidapawan	43%	2%	44%
2	Makilala	12%	0%	13%
3	Matalam	11%	0%	11%
4	Magpet	17%	1%	17%
5	Not specified	14%	1%	14%

Client Type were almost equally divided, except for the Citizens comprising 34% of respondents which represent individual service connections with residential classification.

D4.	Client Type	External	Internal	Overall
1	Citizen	30%	4%	34%
2	Business	24%	0%	24%
3	Government	21%	0%	21%
4	Not specified	21%	0%	21%

#### B. Count of CC and SQD Results

43.6% of the respondents show that the customers are aware of the presence of the Citizen's Charter, 48.6% are saying that the Citizen's

Charter is somewhere easy to see, 48.2% are saying that the Citizen's Charter helped them in the transaction with MKWD.

	Citizen's Charter Answers	Responses	Percentage
CC1.	Which of the following describes your awareness of CC?		
1	I know what a CC is and I saw this office's CC.	1719	43.6%
2	I know what a CC is and but I did not see this office's CC.	1438	36.5%
3	I learned of the CC only when I saw this office's CC.	556	14.1%
4	I do not know what a CC is and I did not see this office's CC.	230	5.8%

	Citizen's Charter Answers	Responses	Percentage
CC2.	If aware of CC, would you say that the CC of this office	•	
	was?		
1	Easy to see	1335	33.9%
2	Somewhere easy to see	1915	48.6%
3	Difficult to see	399	10.1%
4	Not visible at all	294	7.5%

	Citizen's Charter Answers	Responses	Percentage
CC3.	If aware of CC, how much did the CC help you in your		
	transaction?		
1	Helped very much	1899	48.2%
2	Somewhat helped	1281	32.5%
3	Did not help	763	19.4%

In SQD0, the overall rating shows 90.0% overall score with very satisfactory rating.

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	N/A	Total Respons es	Overall
SQD0	1459	1969	155	97	131	132	3943	90.0%

The overall score of the services is 91.5% which is equivalent to very satisfactory rating. Lowest of all the SQD's are Responsiveness and Outcome

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	N/A	Total Respons es	Overall
Responsiveness	1385	2050	126	106	155	121	3943	89.9%
Reliability	1534	1998	136	97	74	104	3943	92.0%
Access and Facilities	1530	2042	113	95	63	100	3943	92.9%
Communication	1517	2025	132	117	16	91	3943	92.0%
Costs	1096	1440	71	<i>7</i> 1	39	1226	3943	93.3%
Integrity	1512	2020	132	108	78	93	3943	91.7%
Assurance	1457	1983	122	100	130	151	3943	90.7%
Outcome	1374	2012	117	106	167	167	3943	89.7%
Overall	11405	15570	949	800	767	2053	31544	91.5%

Among all the services, Engineering Services, Test Bench and Balik-Connection gained 100% outstanding rating. 8 of the services received outstanding rating, 10 are very satisfactory and 7 are satisfactory.

The services showing lowest scores are the online services Electronic Bills Payment (Landbank), Electronic Bill Payment (G-Cash), and Outstanding Bill Inquiry which signify the need for improvement.

Ratina

		ung
1	Water Bill Payment	95.8%
2	Laboratory Services	92.5%
3	Engineering Services	100.0%
4	Bulk Water	93.4%
5	Other Unbilled Transactions	96.7%
6	New Service Connection	92.6%
7	Transfer Connection	97.2%
8	Transfer Source	91.0%
9	Transfer Meter Stand	97.2%
10	Transfer Name/Change Name	89.8%
11	Test Water Meter	96.4%
12	Test Bench	100.0%
13	Reclassification	94.4%
14	Plumbing Services	90.9%
15	Voluntary Disconnection	89.4%
16	Reconnection/Re-Open	89.9%
17	Balik Connection	100.0%
18	Charging of Inactive to Active Account	91.8%

19	Promissory Bill	90.6%
20	Billing Adjustment	90.9%
21	Application For Senior Citizen Discount	89.3%
22	Basic Personnel Information	93.6%
23	Electronic Bills Payment (Landbank)	84.8%
24	Electronic Bill Payment (G-Cash)	87.7%
25	Outstanding Bill Inquiry	84.1%
	Overall Score:	91.5%

#### C. Free responses

Some of the free responses from the survey were the following:

- 1. "Hatagan ug igong lugway sa pagbayad" (Provide us with ample time to pay)
- 2. "Satisfied client here"
- 3. "Insufficient water"
- 4. "Good service"
- 5. "Water Interruption always"
- 6. "Salamat sa 24 hours water" (Thank you for 24 hours water supply)
- 7. "Keep up the good work"

# V. RESULTS OF AGENCY ACTION PLAN REPORTED IN PREVIOUS YEAR

Comparing with the previous year's CSM results there is a slight increase of CSM score (from 90.1% to 91.5%) which signifies improvement to the overall client satisfaction. However, the same as the last year, the e-payments received the lowest scores indicating that improvement of the e-payment must be explored.

# VI. CONTINUOUS AGENCY IMPROVEMENT PLAN FOR THE FOLLOWING YEAR

The e-payment shall be seen as an avenue for providing faster mode of payment. Overall, the office shall continue to improve its intangible services, specifically the outcome and responsiveness in terms of addressing customer needs.

#### VII. INDEX

A. Client Satisfaction Measurement Survey